

Optimizing efficiency in oncology day hospitals



ONCOptimal

EXECUTIVE SUMMARY

A scientific initiative of:



eco

Fundación para la
Excelencia y la
Calidad de la
Oncología



ONCOptimal

Optimizing efficiency
in oncology day hospitals

With the participation of:



With the collaboration of:



With the collaboration, through an educational grant from:





ONCOptimal

Optimizing efficiency in oncology day hospitals

AUTHORS

Ruth Vera García. *Medical Oncology, University Hospital of Navarra, Navarra Research Institute (IdISNA). Foundation for Excellence and Quality in Oncology (ECO Foundation)*

Diego Ayuso Murillo. *Nursing, Secretary General, General Council of Nursing*

Candela Calle Rodríguez. *Medical Oncology, Fundació Sant Francesc d'Assís. Member of the Board of Directors of Sociedad Española de Directivos de la Salud (SEDISA)*

José Luis Cobos Serrano. *Nursing, Vice-President, General Council of Nursing*

M.ª Estela Moreno Martínez. *Hospital pharmacy, Hospital de la Santa Creu i Sant Pau, Barcelona, Coordinator of Spanish Group of Oncological Pharmacy/Spanish Society of Hospital Pharmacy (GEDEFO-SEFH)*

Ana Laura Ortega Granados. *Medical Oncologist, University Hospital of Jaén*

Dulce Ramírez Puerta. *Continuidad Asistencial, Hospital Universitario Infanta Leonor, Madrid*

Estefanía Zhan Zhou. *Hospital Pharmacy, Hospital Universitario Fundación Alcorcón, Madrid*

Juan Antonio Virizuela Echaburu. *Medical Oncology, Hospital Quirónsalud Sagrado Corazón, Sevilla. Foundation for Excellence and Quality in Oncology (ECO Foundation)*

A scientific initiative of the ECO Foundation. **Paula Gratal, Teresa Pardo;** Scientific Projects Department

With the participation of the Health Consultancy and Research Unit of Francisco de Vitoria University. **Francisco J. Campos Lucas;** Director of the Health Consultancy and Research Unit. **Diana Monge Martín;** Vice-Dean of Research and Medical Education. **M.ª Amparo Corral Rubio;** **Carlos García Manrique;** **José Miguel Pérez Sánchez** Faculty of Medicine

Methodological Advisor, Technical Secretariat, and Team editorial:
Clover Creative Health Solutions

Plaza de la Encina 10-11 · Edif. La Encina
Tres Cantos. 28760 – Madrid
www.clover-sgm.com
91 803 72 79

ONCOPTIMAL PROJECT



ONCOPTIMAL PROJECT

The **ONCOptimal** project (Optimizing the efficiency of oncology day hospitals) is a collaborative initiative between several entities related to the field of Oncology. The main goal was to **draw up a report of recommendations on optimizing efficiency in oncology day hospitals (ODH)** in Spain.

PARTICIPATING ENTITIES

- Foundation for Excellence and Quality in Oncology (ECO Foundation)
- Spanish Society of Health Managers (SEDISA)
- Spanish Society of Hospital Pharmacy (SEFH)
- General Nursing Council (CGE)

With the collaboration of the following patients associations:

- Spanish Association Against Cancer (AECC)
- Spanish Cancer Patients Group (GEPAC)

ONCOPTIMAL PROJECT PHASES

1- Creation of a scientific committee

ECO Foundation	Ruth Vera García Juan Antonio Virizuela Echaburu Ana Laura Ortega Granados
SEDISA	Candela Calle Rodríguez Dulce Ramírez Puerta
CGE	Diego Ayuso Murillo José Luis Cobos Serrano
SEFH	M. ^a Estela Moreno Martínez Estefanía Zhan Zhou

2- Analysis of the situation:

- Review of the scientific evidence**
- Conducting of two national surveys** on the care situation:
 - Survey aimed at healthcare professionals from ODHs
 - 212 healthcare professionals belonging to
 - 116 public, private or subsidised Spanish centres
 - Survey of oncology patients articulated through AECC and GEPAC:
 - 248 cancer patients
- Study of the impact of technology on infusion times** of systemic treatments carried out by the Health Consultancy and Research Unit of the Francisco de Vitoria University.

3- Drafting of the document of recommendations of the participating entities.

The project has received support through an Educational grant from Becton Dickinson

ONCOLOGY DAY HOSPITAL

The day hospital is a care facility whose main distinguishing feature is **the assistance and care of patients in hospital for a few hours both for treatments**, that do not require hospital admission, **and for diagnostic studies, clinical research and/or multiple examinations**, including simple extractions, invasive procedures or observation of possible complications.¹⁻³

The **results of the national survey**, in relation to the description of the oncology day hospital, are summarised in the following table.

Description of the ODH	
Accreditation, research and training	
Have an accreditation system for quality standards	40%
Have a separate clinical trials research area or unit	20%
Structure	
Average size	142 m²
Provision of an emergency response or crash cart	95%
Open from Monday to Friday	89,5%
Resources	
Have specific staff who provide information on consultations, treatments and side effects to patients	69%
Have procedures that are agreed upon and well-known by all staff for work related to healthcare processes	73%
Have patient volunteers	49%
Do not have a protocol in place to manage requests for new infusion devices for the administration of chemotherapy treatments	41%
Have the figure of a coordinator	60%
In most cases the figure of a coordinator is a Nurse, mainly dedicated to the running of the centre	71%
Pharmacist(s) responsible for validation, processing and dispensing of cytostatics have advanced specialized training	47%
Average number of treatments administered in the morning	40
Average number of treatments administered in the afternoon	23
Average number of infusion pumps per centre	34
Average number of patients per day attending the ODH	75
Approximate number of walk-in patients	8
Average number of chairs	20
Average number of beds	5

It is essential to ensure **early care and treatment for patients, reducing waiting lists**. Improved treatments and early detection have extended the life expectancy of cancer patients, and many patients are able to overcome the disease or reduce it to a chronic condition, with prolonged treatment over time.⁸ In Oncology, lengthening the time to treatment **can significantly reduce patient survival**. In addition, the lengthening of patient waiting time for treatment leads to a significant reduction in patient satisfaction.⁴⁻⁷

THE PROBLEM IN DAY HOSPITALS IN SPAIN

The increase in demand for day hospital services, as a consequence of the increase in the number of cancer cases, has not been matched by a **proportional increase in human resources, material resources and technological resources**. This imbalance between demand and supply has led to **longer waiting times**² in the administration of oncology medication, **reducing survival expectancy and the satisfaction** of oncology patients.⁴⁻⁷

The **results of the national survey**, in relation to the processes of the oncology day hospital, are summarised in the following table.

Processes in the ODH	
Waiting lists for medication and waiting times	
Time from diagnosis or surgery to the start of oncology medication administration < 30 days	85,8%
Patient appointment for oncology treatment	
Electronic notification and appointment reminders via SMS, mobile app, email, etc.	57%
Electronic identification of patients on arrival, by means of a bar-coded wristband	58%
Blood collection and analysis	
Average waiting time from patient arrival at the ODH to blood collection	1 h
Average waiting time from blood collection to availability of lab results	1,45 h
Have a Point-of-Care system for blood collection	46%
Medical visit	
Average waiting time from the time the lab results are available to the consultation with the patient	1,16 h
Confirmation of the schedule	
Have a planning system in place for available chairs and for managing or prioritizing the patient treatment schedules (mainly: activity analysis)	59%
Preparation of medication	
Have a computerized or electronic system for prescribing cancer medication	95%
Includes information on, among other things, drug interactions, drug allergies, duplicate therapy, or dosage adjustments based on liver and kidney function	70%
Use an electronic/digital method to receive medication prescriptions and all have a pharmaceutical validation system for the prescription of oncology treatments	80%
Average number of preparations per week	310
Average number of delays per week in the preparation of cancer treatments in general	11
Are supported by standardized preparation software	48%
Have a gravimetric system to validate the preparation	45%
Have an automation system for all necessary calculations (size, number of vials, volume, etc.) for the preparation of medication	92%
Once ready to be administered the prepared treatment is delivered to the patient by an orderly	75%
Incidents occurring during clinical validation of the prescription (dosage, drug, other) are recorded	70%
This registration is mainly carried out in the Pharmacy Service	76%
Monitor and control incidents during the administration of treatment, mostly electronically/digitally)	92%

Processes in the ODH	
Administration of the treatment	
Do not have a bar code-based patient/medication/pump identification system	71%
Infusion pumps are programmed manually	84%
Average time from consultation to the start of administration of the medication	1,59 h
Average time to dispensing	1 h
Final check	
The activity of nurses is recorded electronically in the patient's electronic health record	88%
The clinical management of the patient is carried out electronically, which includes or integrates the patient's data, including lab results	98%
Percentage of the working day taken up by administrative work, as opposed to patient care	35%
Safety/hazardous drugs	
Average number of adverse events per month associated with the administration of oncology medication, mainly infusion-related reactions and extravasations	9
Closed Systems Transfer Devices (CSTD)	62%
Use safety syringes and needles	12%
Perform regular monitoring of surface contamination by cytostatic medication	45%
Perform this monitoring more than once a month	74%

The following table summarizes the inefficiencies and bottlenecks by care process in ODHs detected through the analysis of evidence and the results of the national survey.

Bottlenecks and inefficiencies	
Care process	Problem
Patient appointment for oncology treatment	Bottleneck: manual planning and management of appointments. Inefficiencies: in the available resources (availability of chairs and beds, of nursing staff).
Blood collection and analysis	Bottleneck: until the lab results are available, the patient cannot continue the care process in the ODH, resulting in a delay. Inefficiencies: lengthened hospital stays due to waiting time for results that reduce the capacity of the ODH and lengthen patients' stay in the facility.
Medical visit	Bottleneck: limited time for the consultation. Inefficiencies: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Delays due to waiting time for the medical visit reduce the capacity of the ODH and lengthen patients' stay in the facility. • Lack of electronic prescribing systems linked to the pharmacy service.
Confirmation of the schedule	Bottleneck: the number of existing chairs as well as human resources is the limiting factor when it comes to increasing the number of patients receiving medication. Inefficiencies: delays and lack of synchronization in the process up to the point of medication preparation are a major inefficiency, resulting in vacant, unoccupied chairs waiting for the patient to go through all the above processes and be ready to receive their medication.

Bottlenecks and inefficiencies

Preparation of medication	<p>Bottleneck: The capacity of the pharmacy service to prepare medication is limited. Until the medication is prepared, it cannot be sent to the administration area.</p> <p>Inefficiencies:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Lack of electronic prescribing systems linked to the pharmacy service. • Lack of a system that prioritizes the preparation of medication based on the patient's condition. • Lack of an electronic system for the preparation of medication. • Lack of an electronic system that displays the status of the preparation of medication by patient and that enables effective coordination between the pharmacy and the administration service, to avoid constant phone calls that reduce the efficiency of both services. • Delays due to waiting time in the preparation of medication reduce the capacity of the ODH and lengthen patients' stay in the facility. • If the synchronization between the pharmacy department and the medication administration department is not effective, it will result in inefficiencies in both departments, leading to delays and prolonged patient stays. • On top of this, if the Pharmacy and ODH are a significant distance apart there will be an added delay due to transport.
Administration of medication	<p>Bottleneck: number of chairs as well as human resources as the limiting factor when it comes to increasing the number of patients receiving medication.</p> <p>Inefficiencies:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Delays and lack of synchronization in the process up to the point of assigning the medication are a major inefficiency, resulting in vacant, unoccupied chairs waiting for the patient to go through all the above processes and be ready to receive their medication. • Lack of protocols for selection of infusion systems and/or intravenous therapy teams in ODH treatment areas. • Lack of electronic systems that allow the identification of the patient/medication/pump by bar code. • Lack of smart pumps with safety and self-programming systems.
Final check	<p>Bottleneck: availability of Nursing to document the administration of medication.</p> <p>Inefficiencies:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • The time the Nursing service spends on manual documenting the administration is time that is not spent administering medication to other patients. • This manual process could be automated by means of electronic patient/medication/pump identification systems using bar codes and smart pumps.

It is crucial to understand that the **best way to prevent missed opportunities** in patients with cancer in **oncology day hospitals** is the **prioritization of time and mobilization of human and technological resources.**^{9,10}

SOLUTIONS

The **introduction of new technologies is the most viable and cost-efficient solution to reduce waiting times** in Spanish oncology day hospitals, as well as to improve patient safety.^{1,11}

Providing human and structural resources, along with the introduction of new technologies, especially electronic traceability systems **are the most immediate and cost-effective solution to reduce waiting lists and improve patient safety.**^{1,11}

The results of the national survey and the Francisco de Vitoria University study, in relation to new technologies of the oncology day hospital, are summarised in the following table.

Technologies in the ODH	
Computerized Provider Order Entry (CPOE) and preparation systems	
Have a computerized provider order entry system	95%
Electronic medication preparation system	48%
Do not have a gravimetric preparation system	55%
Communication between Medical Oncology and the Pharmacy Service carried out using paper	18%
Electronic connection systems between departments	
Communication between Medical Oncology and the Pharmacy Service carried out electronically	80%
"Patient/medication/pump" bar code identification systems	30%
Smart pumps	
Average number of infusion pumps for the administration of treatment	34
Do not have dual-channel infusion pumps	57%
Infusion pumps are programmed manually	84%
Do not have sufficient infusion pumps available to care for unscheduled patients requiring unplanned care, ensuring their continuum of care	84%
Does not have a protocol in place to manage requests for new infusion devices for the administration of chemotherapy treatments	41%
Microbore* infusion systems	
Reduction in overall infusion times through the use of intravenous infusion devices with primary and secondary microbore systems such as those available in BD BodyGuard Duo	9' 11''
Point-of-care testing.	
Have a Point-of-Care system for blood collection	46%

* Francisco de Vitoria University Study

In short, the **degrees of implementation** of the **different systems** are as follows:

- Electronic prescription systems: 95%.
- Electronic medication preparation systems: 48%.
- Bar Code Medication Administration (BCMA): 30%.
- Microbore pumps: reduction of total infusion times by nine minutes and eleven seconds per session.
- Point-of-care testing: 46%.

The following table lists the **technologies available in ODHs and their impact on the efficiency and reduction of waiting lists** for the administration of oncology medication in Spain.

Solution	Efficiency generated	Penetration in the ODHs ONCOptimal	Average reduction in the number of waiting days
Electronic prescription systems	10 minutes	95%	Not significant due to high penetration
Gravimetric medication preparation systems (Hospital Pharmacy)	35%	26%	8 days
BCMA: Bar code medication administration	43%	30%	8 days
		TOTAL	8 days
Microbore system	9 minutes and 11 seconds	--	260 more patients per year per HDO of medium-sized*
Point-of-care blood sampling systems	No evidence available	46%	4,795 hours

*Estimated time reduction calculation for a Chemotherapy Unit type: 12 chairs, with a rotation of 1.5 patients per chair/day: 18 patients/day.

Furthermore, **patient safety in ODHs is also a top priority**. Adverse events in cancer patients are more prevalent than in other types of patients and have a high human, social and economic cost. The main adverse events that jeopardize patient safety in the administration of medication to oncology patients in ODHs are: **medication errors, catheter-related infections and those related to infusion therapy**.^{1,12}

The following table summarizes the **adverse effects on cancer patients in ODHs, their economic impact and possible solutions**.

Adverse effects	Magnitude of the problem	Economic impact	Solutions
Medication errors	8.1 errors per 100 clinic visits	Spain: €2 billion	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • CPOE: Computerized Provider Order Entry • Gravimetric medication preparation systems • BCMA: Bar code medication administration • Smart pumps: with DERS system (medication error reduction software) and infusion stations with centralization tablets, or pumps with self-programming capability.
Infections, phlebitis and extravasations	0.05 and 6.8/1000/day	Spain: €17,221,000/year	Infusion therapy protocols with algorithms for infusion system selection based on medication, patient's venous status and duration of treatment.
Bacteraemia			
Extravasations	3.454/año	España: 15.635.000 €	
Phlebitis	1.049/año	España: 1.257.400 €	
TOTAL		Spain: €2,034 million	

The **introduction of new technologies is the most viable and cost-efficient solution to reduce waiting times** in Spanish oncology day hospitals, as well as to improve patient safety.

Computerizing the processes, **from** prescription, preparation, and administration would:

- **Minimize adverse effects** throughout the process.
- **Reduce waiting time** by 8 days
- **Generate an estimated saving** for the Spanish health system of **€2.034 billion**.

RECOMMENDATIONS FROM ONCOPTIMAL SCIENTIFIC BODIES

HEALTHCARE MANAGEMENT

- Healthcare management is the cornerstone of the health system to function in terms of ensuring **health outcomes and efficiency**. Therefore, the **commitment of Health Managers and their professional approach** is necessary to understand the real needs, engage, and make decisions regarding the efficiency of the oncology day hospital.

DESCRIPTION OF THE ONCOLOGY DAY HOSPITAL

Accreditation

- The **quality of care** for cancer patients should entail the **accreditation of oncology day hospitals**, through objective and well-known criteria and recognized systems.
- Specialists working in these care areas must have **specific skills, training and experience** in caring for oncology patients.
- Progress is needed in creating **new professional roles, accreditation diplomas or the development of specialization in this field**.
- Pharmacy services should accredit/certify, through external entities, the **activities of the pharmacotherapeutic process** (validation, preparation and dispensing). These tools make it possible to incorporate continuous improvement systems, periodically analysing processes in order to evaluate their efficiency, establish prioritizations, etc.

Research and training

- Oncology day hospitals should have a **separate clinical trials research unit**.
- The services involved should actively participate in the establishment of **technological or process innovation programmes in the oncohaematological area** by promoting **ongoing training, accreditation**, as well as **specialization** in the area of specific professional training in oncohaematological pharmacotherapy.
- Nurses, in addition to having the necessary qualifications to perform their work, should be trained in **cardiopulmonary resuscitation**, be familiar with working in an environment of **good clinical practice, be trained in research, and trained in conducting pharmacokinetic studies, handling biological samples, hazardous drugs, and ensuring the biosafety** of patients and professionals. They should also have

extensive **care experience**, especially in the field of antineoplastic chemotherapy, with knowledge of adverse effects and precautions to be taken to maximize safety during administration.

STRUCTURE AND RESOURCES OF THE ONCOLOGY DAY HOSPITAL

Human resources: numbers, training and communication

- The oncology day hospital should be a unit where the patient is **received, cared for and discharged in the centre itself**, although sometimes it may require the support of other services to perform a specific procedure (diagnostic imaging, etc.).
- The functional design of an oncology day hospital should take into account the varying health conditions of patients, and facilitate patient movement between different areas. The recommendations establish a **minimum of one nurse per shift for every 6 treatment posts with specific training and expertise in oncology**. However, the staffing recommendations are made based on the increasing number of patients and treatments/procedures that are progressively occurring in healthcare centres due to both population growth and the growing prevalence of treatable neoplasms across multiple lines.

Beds/chairs

- The structure and resources of oncology day hospitals **must conform to the quality standards** established by scientific societies and competent bodies, and adapt to the increasing processes of meeting patient needs.
- The stations can take various forms (beds and/or chairs), depending on the specific characteristics of each treatment and the patient's condition. Given the wide range of possible therapeutic modalities, **flexible structures** are required that can easily adapt to the changing needs of the patient and accompanying persons in the centre.

PROCESSES IN THE ONCOLOGY DAY HOSPITAL

Bottlenecks to reduce waiting times and improve the different processes: appointments, blood sample collection, preparation of medication, etc.

- **Waiting times at the bottlenecks identified in this report should be reduced** by incorporating new technologies, bringing certain processes closer to the patient, through home hospitalization and telemedicine, by carrying out sample collections and analyses prior to the patient's stay in the oncology day hospital, by optimizing treatments, etc.
- **A periodic review of the pathways** should be carried out by a multidisciplinary team, with the aim of optimizing the activity.
- **A global view of the process** should be reflected in the review of the pathways to find solutions that improve the patient's experience while ensuring their safety.

Incorporation of new technologies to improve systems

- Procedures and actions should be **standardized, computerizing the process**, from prescription, preparation and administration, to avoid errors throughout. Computerizing the process could reduce the average medication administration time in Spain by up to 8 days and result in savings for the Spanish healthcare system through the prevention of medication errors.
- Oncology day hospitals should have a **comprehensive and integrated information**

system and across different levels of care for managing the pharmacotherapeutic process for oncohaematological patients.

- The **electronic prescription system** for medication should be integrated into the patient's health record and should include all the necessary elements to assist in decision-making, as well as to assist in the validation and traceability of the entire process of preparation, dispensing and administration.
- The continuum of care using **digital technologies can strengthen the system and ensure greater accessibility for health professionals**.
- Case manager nurses or oncology nurses can take on **these new roles by following up with patients prior to their visits or by addressing any queries that may arise after treatment**.
- Oncology day hospitals should have a **validated protocol for infusion system selection and algorithms for selecting the appropriate infusion set**, which should be of mandatory compliance. The creation of infusion therapy teams in oncology day hospitals is also recommended.

SAFETY

Healthcare professionals in the oncology day hospital

- Oncology day hospitals should have and use mandatory **closed systems for the preparation and administration of hazardous drugs (Closed Systems Transfer Devices, CSTD)**, airtight systems that prevent medication, when prepared and administered, from escaping to the outside.
- Oncology day hospitals should regularly **monitor the presence of hazardous drugs on work surfaces**, in both preparation and administration areas to determine the presence of hazardous drugs and evaluate the effectiveness of the safe drug handling programme, following the recommendations of the National Council of Nursing and the SEFH. The evaluation should include a study of the efficiency of engineering controls, work practices and cleaning and decontamination processes.

Patient

Preventing errors and improving safety

- Oncology day hospitals should have a **validated protocol for infusion system selection and algorithms for selecting the appropriate infusion set**, which should be of mandatory compliance. The creation of infusion therapy teams in oncology day hospitals is also recommended.
- Oncology day hospitals should undertake **improvement and prevention projects related to major patient safety issues**, such as medication errors, prevention of catheter-related infections, and therapy-related issues.
- The oncology day hospital should **actively participate in the development and maintenance of a risk management programme** applied to the prevention and resolution of health problems related to oncohaematological medication and participate actively in the establishment of processes for the safe management of anti-neoplastic therapy, taking into account not only patient risks, but also occupational risks, and covering all phases of the pharmacotherapeutic process.
- **Procedures and actions should be standardized**, with the computerization of gui-

delines, to prevent errors in reading and calculations. Electronic prescription is the safest method, and dual or multiple checks should be performed at each step of the process.

- **Pharmaceutical interventions**, carried out by all staff involved, **should be documented in the patient's health record** and should be evaluated in order to develop improvement measures.

Patient Experience

- Oncology day hospitals **should have procedures in place to assess the patient experience and incorporate their expectations and needs** into the improvement of their care process to ensure improved health outcomes.
- **Further research** is required on **satisfaction and quality of care received** from the point of view of the patient and family, to find areas for improvement.
- **A more humanized form of pharmaceutical care should be provided for the patient and caregiver** on an ongoing basis throughout their care process. This includes **offering information** about their treatment and adapting the pharmacotherapeutic plan to their health, considering individual needs, agreed-upon goals, and the necessary interventions to achieve them.
- **New technologies** should be incorporated to facilitate patient education, communication and active participation, as well as to allow the access to information about their own process. This would include, for example, apps, mobile devices, telecare and platforms that open communication channels with patients.

References

1. Spanish Society of Medical Oncology (SEOM). Oncology Day Hospitals. 2015. Available at: https://www.seom.org/seomcms/images/stories/recursos/Libro_Hospitales_Dia_en_Oncologia.pdf. Last accessed: July 2023.
2. Ministry of Health and Social Policy. Reports, studies and research 2009. Day Hospital. Standards and Recommendations. Available at: <https://www.sanidad.gob.es/organizacion/sns/planCalidadSNS/docs/UnidadHospitalDia.pdf>. Last accessed: July 2023.
3. Jara C, Ayala F, Virizuela JA; Oncology Day Hospital Task Force. The oncology day hospital in Spain: an updated analysis of Spanish Society of Medical Oncology (SEOM) looking forward. *Clin Transl Oncol*. 2017 Mar;19(3):269-272. doi: 10.1007/s12094-016-1610-1. Epub 2017 Jan 12. PMID: 28083756; PMCID: PMC5306221.
4. Plourde CL, Varnado WT, Gleaton BJ, Das DG. Reducing Infusion Clinic Wait Times Using Quality Improvement. *JCO Oncol Pract*. 2020 Aug;16(8):e807-e813. doi: 10.1200/JOP.19.00643. Epub 2020 Mar 6. PMID: 32142391.
5. Hanna TP, King WD, Thibodeau S, Jalink M, Paulin GA, Harvey-Jones E, et al. Mortality due to cancer treatment delay: systematic review and meta-analysis. *BMJ*. 2020 Nov 4;371:m4087. doi: 10.1136/bmj.m4087. PMID: 33148535; PMCID: PMC7610021.
6. Lafferty M, Fauer A, Wright N, Manojlovich M, Friese CR. Causes and Consequences of Chemotherapy Delays in Ambulatory Oncology Practices: A Multisite Qualitative Study. *Oncol Nurs Forum*. 2020 Jul 1;47(4):417-427. doi: 10.1188/20.ONF.417-427. PMID: 32555555; PMCID: PMC7310592.
7. Kallen MA, Terrell JA, Lewis-Patterson P, Hwang JP. Improving wait time for chemotherapy in an outpatient clinic at a comprehensive cancer center. *J Oncol Pract*. 2012 Jan;8(1):e1-7. doi: 10.1200/JOP.2011.000281. PMID: 22548015; PMCID: PMC3266321.
8. Spanish Society of Medical Oncology (SEOM). SEOM Monograph on Long Cancer Survivors. 2012. Available at: https://www.seom.org/seomcms/images/stories/recursos/infopublico/publicaciones/MONOGRAFICO_SEOM_LARGOS_SUPERVIVIENTES_I.pdf. Last accessed: July 2023.
9. Cone EB, Marchese M, Paciotti M, Nguyen DD, Nabi J, Cole AP, et al. Assessment of Time-to-Treatment Initiation and Survival in a Cohort of Patients With Common Cancers. *JAMA Netw Open*. 2020 Dec 1;3(12):e2030072. doi: 10.1001/jamanetworkopen.2020.30072. PMID: 33315115; PMCID: PMC7737088.
10. Vidal-Carreras PI, García-Sabater JJ, Marin-García JA. Applying Value Stream Mapping to Improve the Delivery of Patient Care in the Oncology Day Hospital. *Int J Environ Res Public Health*. 2022 Apr 2;19(7):4265. doi: 10.3390/ijerph19074265. PMID: 35409944; PMCID: PMC8998329.
11. Martín Delgado MC, Trenado Álvarez J, Sanz López E, Ríaza Gómez M, Sánchez Galindo A, Nieto Moro M, et al. Prevention of medication errors in adult, paediatric and neonatal Intensive Care Units in Spain. 2022. Available at: https://semicyuc.org/wp-content/uploads/2022/05/20220503_INFORME-PREVEMED.pdf. Accessed: July 2023.
12. Feliciano Silva F, Macedo da Silva Bonfante G, Reis IA, André da Rocha H, Pereira Lana A, Leal Cherchiglia M. Hospitalizations and length of stay of cancer patients: A cohort study in the Brazilian Public Health System. *PLoS One*. 2020 May 20;15(5):e0233293. doi: 10.1371/journal.pone.0233293. PMID: 32433706; PMCID: PMC7239479.



ONCOptimal

Optimizing efficiency
in oncology day hospitals

With the collaboration, through an educational grant from:

